IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE FIRST DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MS JUSTICE G.ROHINI

WRIT PETITION NO : 3785 of 2005

Between:

Golla Vineetha, D/o. Yosephu, R/o. H.No. 7-730-2, Prashanthi Nilayam, A.B.M. Compound, Subedarpet, Nellore - 524 001.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The General Manager (Personnal Department) Head Office, Andhra Bank, Dr. Pattabhi Bhavan, 5-9-11, Saifabad, Hyderabad - 500 004.
2 The Deputy General Manager, Andhra Bank, Zonal Office, Sultan Bazar, Koti, Hyderabad - 500 195.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue Writ Order or Direction more particularly one in the nature of WRIT OF MANDAMUS , to declare the impugned letter bearing Appln. No. 900012 dt. NIl issued by the 1st respondent herein as arbitrary, illegal, against to the principles of natural justice and violative of Articles 14, 16 and 21 of the constitution of India and consequently set aside the same.

Counsel for the Petitioner:MR.B.D.RAJU

Counsel for the Respondent No.1 & 2: MR.NUTY RAM MOHAN RAO

The Court at the admission stage made the following :

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

ORDER:

This writ petition is filed seeking a declaration that the action of the first respondent in rejecting the application of the petitioner for the written examination of the Probationary Officers scheduled to be held on 06-03-2005 as arbitrary and illegal.

2. As can be seen from the order of rejection, the only reason mentioned was that the fees was not received with the application of the petitioner. However, the petitioner states that while submitting the application, she has paid the requisite fees of Rs.35/- by way of demand draft dated 01-12-2004.

3. Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Standing Counsel appearing for the respondent-Bank and keeping in view the fact that the only objection raised was that the fees was not received along with the application and particularly in view of the fact that the time available is too short, instead of keeping the writ petition pending, I deem it appropriate to dispose of the writ petition with a direction to the respondents to allow the petitioner to appear for the examination subject to the condition that the petitioner pays the fees afresh by way of Demand Draft by tomorrow i.e., 02-03-2005.

4. Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of granting liberty to the petitioner to pay the fees afresh by way of Demand Draft by 02-03-2005. In case, the petitioner approaches the Personal Department of the 1st Respondent-Bank with a fresh

Demand Draft towards the fees on 2-3-2005 and also gives an undertaking that she is willing to appear for the written test in the centre at Hyderabad, the respondents shall consider the same and allow her to appear for the test scheduled to be held on 06-03-2005. No costs.

_____

01-03-2005

Note:- Cc today.

(B/O)

SJ

To

1 The General Manager (Personnal Department) Head Office, Andhra Bank, Dr. Pattabhi Bhavan, 5-9-11, Saifabad, Hyderabad - 500 004.
2 The Deputy General Manager, Andhra Bank, Zonal Office, Sultan Bazar, Koti, Hyderabad - 500 195.

3. Two C.D. copies.

Note:

CC by today.

(Bo)

sj

THE HON'BLE MS JUSTICE G.ROHINI

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

WRIT PETITION NO : 3785 of 2005

01-03-2005